

Computerized system validation (CSV) as a Requirement for Good Manufacturing Practices

Presented by Ahmed M. Hasham, PhD MEVAC QA Manager





Dr Ahmed Hasham

QA Manager for MEVAC.

B.Sc. of special chemistry- Al-Azhar University.

M.Sc. of Environmental Chemistry – Ain Shams University.

Ph.D. of Inorganic and analytical chemistry. Suez Canal University-

Certified trainer in the Quality Management Systems field.

Member of the Arab Society for experts and Safety Professionals.

More than 16 years of experience in quality field.

https://eg.linkedin.com/in/ahmed-hasham-mmba-01024b27



Target Audience



• IT Personnel and Managers

> / A \Box A / 25 / A \Box



• Quality Personnel and Managers

MEVAC

• Auditors and Audit Managers



Contents

Purpose of CS/ PLC validation

Why is validation needed?

Who cares about CSV?

List of Guidance Documents.

General Principles of Software Validation.

Introduction to Validation Master Plan

Examples from regulatory requirement for CSV

Validation life cycle

GAMP 5 – software categories

Introduction to CSA



GMP definition

• WHO defines Good Manufacturing Practices (GMP) as "that

part of quality assurance which ensures that products are

consistently produced and controlled to the quality standards

appropriate to their intended use and as required by the

marketing authorization."





Validation definition

• Validation (USFDA) is defined as the establishing of

documented evidence which provides a high degree of assurance

validation

that a planned process will consistently perform according to the

intended specified outcomes.



Software Validation definition

- Software Validation is a process of evaluating software
- product, so as to ensure that the software meets the pre-
- defined and specified business requirements as well as the
- end users/customers' demands and expectations.





Objectives



Purpose of CS/ PLC validation

• The purpose of the validation process is to provide a high degree of assurance that a specific process (or in this case computer system) will consistently produce a product (control information's or data which meets predetermined specifications and quality attributes.



WHY IS VALIDATION NEEDED?

To comply with the FDA regulations

• To avoid Failing an FDA audit which can result in FDA inspectional observations ("483s") and warning letters.

 Failure to take corrective action in a timely manner can result in shutting down manufacturing facilities, and this will cause a financial penalties. MEVAC



Benefits of CSV conducting



Cost of compliance is low comparing with the cost of non- compliance .



Provides documentation required by FDA, EMEA, other regulatory agencies.



Maximizes the value of the computer system and the employees that use it.



Reduces labor costs by increasing employee's efficiency and effectiveness.



Saves money by discovering defects early



Reduces risk.

Promotes continual process improvement.



Key Objectives of CSV



Product quality Data integrity





Who cares about CSV?

Resources involved in any way with IT, computer or automated systems is affected: § Maintainers

§ Users

QA

δ

§ Regulatory Authorities

MEVAC

The Regulatory Requirements can be grouped + as below:

Ο

Quality System: related to the Quality System and to the associated documentation

Security: related to the general features of System Security and Security of Regulated Electronic Record managed by the system

Integrity: related to the Integrity of the Regulated Electronic Record managed by the system and associated Validation documentation

Traceability: related to the Traceability of the Regulated Electronic Record managed by the system

Accountability: related to the Regulated Electronic Signatures managed by the system



Regulatory Framework

- EudraLex Volume 4 Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) guidelines. Volume 4 annex 11 computerized systems. <u>https://ec.europa.eu</u>
- Guidelines on validation Appendix 5. Validation of computerized systems (May 2018) <u>https://www.who.int</u>
- GAMP[®] 5: A Risk-Based Approach to Compliant GxP Computerized Systems <u>https://ispe.org/publications/guidance-documents/gamp-5</u>
- FDA 21 CFR 11
- FDA 21 CFR 820
- etc.



Examples from regulatory / guidelines requirement for CSV

Regulation or Guideline	Requirement
21 CFR 820.30 (g)	Design validation shall include software validation and risk analysis, where appropriate.
FDA 21 CFR 11.10	Controls for closed systems. Such procedures and controls shall include the following: (a) Validation of systems to ensure accuracy, reliability,
FDA 21 CFR 211.68(b)	Input to and output from the computer or related system of formulas or other records or data shall be checked for accuracy.
FDA 21 CFR 1271. 160(d)	You must validate the performance of computer software for the intended use, and the performance of any changes to that software for the intended use
EU- GMP – Annex 11	The application should be validated; IT infrastructure should be qualified
COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 93/42/EEC 12.(1a)	The software must be validated



Examples from regulatory / guidelines requirement for CSV

Regulation	Requirement
WHO GMP for Pharmaceutical Products: Main Principles 4.11	Particular attention should be paid to the validation of analytical test methods, automated systems and cleaning procedures
WHO Specifications for Pharmaceutical Preparations 6.3	Critical computerized systems should be validated before use.
PIC/S PE 009-11 Guide to GMP for Medicinal Products 5.40	GMP related computerized systems should be validated. The depth and scope of validation depends on the diversity, complexity and criticality of the computerized application.
PIC/S PE 011-1 Guide to Good Distribution Practice for medicinal products	Before a computerized system is brought into use, it should be demonstrated, through appropriate validation or verification studies
ICH Q7A GMPs for Active Pharmaceutical Ingredients	GMP-related computerized systems should be validated
COUNCIL DIRECTIVE 93/42/EEC 12.(1a)	The software must be validated

Compliance Strategy

₽

The objective must be to achieve compliance as cost effectively as possible.

Higher cost More Validation Cost of non compliance Cost of prospective validation

Cost of non

compliance

- **Breakeven compliance costs** A
- **Balanced compliance scorecard** в
- C Zero tolerance to noncompliance

Cost of

prospective validation

в









GAMP Aim

GAMP describes a set of principles and procedures that help ensure that pharmaceutical Software have required quality.

Computer system validation (CSV) following GAMP guidelines require users and suppliers to work together so that responsibilities regarding the validation process are understood.



What Software Requires CSV?

MEVAC



Production software

Quality management software



Software for FDA-Regulated Records

MEVAC

Change control software

Examples for Quality Management Software

Calibration Software

Document management software

Deviation tracking software

CAPA tracking software



Software for FDA-Regulated Records

Electronic Submission Software

Warehouse Management Software

Validation Records software

Supplier approval software

Clinical Trails Records software



Validation life cycle

MEVAC



User Requirement Specifications (URS)

Describes what the system should do. The user requirements contain scientific, business, legal, regulatory, safety, performance and quality aspects of the future system. The user requirements serve as the basis for the Performance Qualification (PQ).



DQ (Design Qualification):

Documented verification that the proposed design of facilities, systems, and equipment is suitable for the intended purpose

IQ (Installation qualification):

Documented verification that a system is installed according to written and pre-approved specifications

OQ (Operational qualification):

Documented verification that a system operates according to written and pre-approved specifications throughout specified operating ranges at the customer.

PQ (Performance qualification) or User Acceptance Testing (UAT):

Documented verification that a system is capable of performing the activities of the processes it is required to perform, according to written and pre-approved specifications, within the scope of the business process and operating environment



Required documents for CSV

Validation Plans and Protocols

Documented Risk Assessment



ٵ

Documented Requirements, Specifications and Designs

Verification activities such as Testing or Qualification according to approved plans or protocols (e.g.; IQ, OQ, PQ)

廩

Ψ

"

Control mechanisms to ensure integrity and completeness during the validation or qualification process

MEVAT

Procedures and/or other mechanisms to maintain the system in a controlled state after initial implementation

Reports that provide summaries and conclusions of validation/qualification.

Category	GAMP 4	GAMP 5		
1	Operating system	Infrastructure software (OS, middleware, DB managers, etc.)	V. Low Risk	
2	Firmware	No longer used — Firmware is no longer functionally distinguishable	Low Risk	
3	Standard software	Non-configured software – Includes default configurable SW	Medium Risk	
4	Configurable software packages	Configured software – configured to satisfy business process	High Risk	
5	Custom software	Custom Software		

GAMP 5 – software categories

		Spreadsheets	Personal Databases	Data Mining and Analysis Tools
	Category 5	Custom Macros	Custom Macros	Custom Macros
		Sophisticated Lookup Functions	Multiple System Sources	
		Nested Boolean Functions	(e.g., 0000 connectivity)	
		Networked Spreadsheet Applications		
		Customized Functions		
		Simple Boolean Functions	Multiple Related Table Operations	
Continuum	Category 4	Complex Template		Complex Analysis based on
f Categories		Statistical Functions	User Defined Queries and Reports	Labels
on Erid Oser		Range Operations	Simple User Form Linked to Single Table	
		Cell Relationships		
	Category 3	Simple Templates		Simple Analysis based on Predifined Queries
		Arithmetic Operators Printing Functions		
	Category 1	Spreadsheet Office Application	Personal DB Office Application	Package for Building SW Tool

 \mathbf{O}



Category	Hardware type	Validation Approach	Example	
Category- 1	Standard hardware components	Standard hardware components should be documented including make or supplier details and version number.	PLC,	
		hts Hardware details can be taken from the hardware data sheet or specification material.		
Category- 2	Custom built	Hardware should have design specification and be subjected to acceptance testing.	DCP ata	
	2	components	Any hardware configuration should be defined in the design documentation and verify in the IQ.	FCD etc.

GAMP 5 – Hardware categories



Regulatory Guidance on Software Validation

FDA Guidance on Software Validation (Activities & Tasks)

Quality Planning Requirements Design Construction Supplier Testing User Site Testing Installation Maintenance and Support Retirement Validation Plan, Risk Management Plan, Governance Plans

Business Requirements, System Requirements, Test Plans

Design Specification, Programming Guidelines

Source Code, Source Code Reviews, Test Cases

Test Results, Test Deviations, Test Reports

Test Results, Test Deviations, Test Reports

Installation Plan & Report, Deployment Plan & Report, Validation Report

Support Quality Plan, Incident Management, Configuration Management, Document Management, Change Control

Decommissioning Plan & Report



Computerized System validation plan

01

Shall be an approved document, which describes the validation activities and responsibilities. 02

Specifies the Computerized System subjected to validation and compiles the validation activities to be performed and the validation targets/criteria to be fulfilled.

03

Shall be prepared and approved prior to conducting the test.

Five steps risk management approach

MEVAC



GAMP 5 - A risk-based approach to compliant GxP computerized system



Commonly used methods and tools for risk assessment

- Hazard and Operability Analysis (HAZOP)
- Computer Hazards and Operability Analysis (CHAZOP)
- Failure Mode and Effects Analysis (FMEA)
- Failure Mode, Effects, and Criticality Analysis (FMECA)
- Fault Tree Analysis (FTA)
- Hazard Analysis and Critical Control Points (HACCP)
- Basic Risk Management Facilitation Methods
- Preliminary Hazard Analysis (PHA)
- Risk Ranking and Filtering

For further details see ICH Q9 Annex I: Risk Management Methods and Tools (Reference 10, Appendix G3).

Risk-Based Approach for Non-Configured Product (Category 3)

Risk-Based Approach for Configured Product (Category 4)

Risk-Based Approach for Custom Application (Category 5)

Project Phase Deliverables

S No	Deliverables	GAMP	GAMP	GAMP	GAMP
5.NO.	Denverables	Category-1	Category-3	Category -4	Category -5
1.	User Requirement Specification	×	✓	✓	✓
2.	Vendor Assessment	×	×	✓	✓
3.	Initial Risk Assessment	✓	✓	✓	✓
4.	Project Validation plan	✓	×	✓	✓
5.	Functional Specification	×	×	✓	✓
6.	Functional Risk Assessment	✓	✓	✓	✓
7.	Configuration Specification	×	×	✓	✓
8.	Design Specification	×	×	✓	✓
9.	Setup, Configuration& Testing in validation Environment	×	×	✓	✓
10.	Installation Qualification	✓	✓	✓	✓
11.	Operational Qualification	×	✓	✓	✓
12.	PerformanceQualification-1	×	✓	✓	✓
13.	Setup, Configuration& Testing in Production Environment	×	×	✓	✓
14.	PerformanceQualification-2	×	×	✓	✓
15.	Traceability Matrix	×	✓	✓	✓
16.	Project Validation Report	 ✓ 	✓	✓	✓

Computer Software Assurance

Five Things You Need To Know

Computer Software Assurance (CSA) comes from a multi-year collaboration between FDA and industry. It identifies common pain points, FDA's current thinking and puts patient safety and product quality ay the heart of the risk assessment process.

New Computer Software Assurance

01

A more flexible, less burdensome, and faster risk-based approach

02

Various assurance approaches depending on the system/feature risk

03

Apply critical thinking to ensure the software is safe and meet its intended use

04

Reduced testing activities resulting from better supplier qualification and collaboration

05

Reduced number of deviations due to tester error

Shifting from CSV to CSA

Spreadsheet to Analyze and Graph Non-conformances

The manufacturer developed a spreadsheet used to analyze, and graph non-conformances stored in a controlled system. Intended use of the spreadsheet has a low patient risk.

Vs

Test Assurance Report

- Intended Use: Analyze and graph non-conformances data stored in a controlled system
- Risk Assessment: The intended use of the spreadsheet is for analyzing process quality outcomes and is identified as a high-risk function. The manufacturing process includes additional changes and inspections that assure non-conformances do not escape therefore the patient risk is low.
- Tested: Spreadsheet X, Version 1.2
- Test type: Unscripted testing exploratory testing
- Goal: Ensure that analyses can be Created/Read/Updated/Deleted
- When/Who: July 9, 2019, by John Smith
- Testing activities: Created, updated, and deleted analyses and observed that all calculated fields were correctly updated
- Conclusion: No errors observed

MEVAC

- 1 page vs 25 pages
- 1 hour vs 5 days
- Product quality is equivalent or better
- Focus on the right level of assurance on the right things, eliminate redundancy

• This presentation provides a review of the CSV as a requirement od GMP and CSA as a

new approach for more practical software acceptance. It highlights the common elements of CSV and CSA, explains their differences, explains the main focus of CSA, and highlights how to achieve both, quality and compliance.

• We assume attendees are already aware with concepts like CSV and data integrity.

Recommendations

1. There are many benefits to implement the CSV.

2. Companies must invest in improve the staff awareness with the CSV and CSA.

3. CSA will replace CSV approach very soon.

